

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,305	09/12/2001	Robert Bennett	110597	2919	
25944	7590 12/23/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EVANS, FANNIE L		
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDR	928 IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	,		2877		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`.		AN	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/936,305	BENNETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	F. L. Evans	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			<b>;</b>
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1, 3-6 and 8-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 and 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes and the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domes are ference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a clai	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received in Applority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Instruction of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 irst sentence of the specification rovisional application has been stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ication No  reived in this National Stage  eived.  19(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data She  received.  120 and/or 121 since a specific	eet. c
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/936,305

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### The Preliminary Amendment

The preliminary amendment filed on September 12, 2001 has been entered.

## The Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on September 12, 2001 has been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The method of claims 12-16 is incomplete in that the claims fail to set forth the steps that would result in a completed component for use in a spectroscopic probe. The claims must set forth the method in active steps, in operative sequence for an end result.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kuke et al (DE 3546082 A1), cited by applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,305

Art Unit: 2877

Kuke et al disclose the arrangement set forth in the body of claims 1 and 3-6 and the component set forth in claims 8-11. With respect to claims 1 and 3-6, Kuke et al disclose an optical input port (lens 13) for receiving illuminating light; a sampling port (lens 16) capable of illuminating a sample with illuminating light and collecting light scattered by the sample; an optical output port (lens 22) capable of outputting scattered light received from the sampling port (lens 16); a block of transparent material, having two opposed angled faces (8,9) for reflection of light passing through the block between the optical input port (lens 13), the sampling port (lens 16) and the optical output port (lens 22); wherein the light between the sampling port and one of the input and the output ports is reflected between the opposed angled faces of the block. The angled faces of the block have a reflecting or partially reflecting coating (10, 11). The coating (10) on at least one of the angled faces is a dichroic filter coating which reflects light of a first wavelength ( $\lambda_2$ ) and transmits light of a second wavelength ( $\lambda_1$ ). With respect to claims 8-11, applicant's attention is directed to the block of transparent material (7) and the reflecting coatings (10, 11) thereon. See Fig. 1.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Fax/Telephone Numbers

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax number for Technology Center 2800 is (703) 872-9306 for regular and After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,305

Art Unit: 2877

If applicant wishes to send a fax containing a Proposed Amendment for discussion during either a personal interview or a telephone interview then the fax should:

1) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and

2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that the amendment will not be entered into the application and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-4805. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font, can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The TC Receptionist's telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature including those relating to the status of an application should be directed to TC 2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

F. L. EVANS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2871

fle December 22, 2003